## **Survey 2: Research Misconduct**

Please use the scale below to rank the level of your agreement or disagreement with each of the following statements.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree

1.	It is never appropriate to report experimental data that have been created	
	without actually having conducted the experiment.	
2.	It is never appropriate to alter experimental data to make an experiment look	
	better than it actually was.	
3.	It is never appropriate to try a variety of different methods of analysis until one	
	is found that yields a result that is statistically significant.	
4.	It is never appropriate to take credit for the words or writing of someone else.	
5.	It is never appropriate to take credit for the data generated by someone else	
6.	It is never appropriate to take credit for the ideas generated by someone else	
7.	If you were confident of your findings, it is acceptable to selectively omit	
	contradictory results to expedite publication.	
8.	If you were confident of your findings, it is acceptable to falsify or fabricate	
	data to expedite publication.	
9.	It is more important that data reporting be completely truthful in a publication	
	than in a grant application.	
10	. If you witness someone committing research misconduct, you have an ethical	
	obligation to act.	
11	. If you had witnessed a co-worker or peer committing research misconduct, you	
	would be willing to report that misconduct to a responsible official.	

12. If you had witnessed a supervisor or p	rincipal investigator committing research
misconduct, you would be willing to re	port that misconduct to a responsible
official.	
13. If fabricated data are discovered in a p	published paper, all co-authors must equally
share in the blame.	
14. If fabricated data are discovered in a p	published paper, all co-authors must receive
the same punishment.	